

1 CHERYL A. GRAMES
Nevada Bar No. 12752
2 Cheryl.Grames@lewisbrisbois.com
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
3 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
4 702.893.3383

5 ROBERT T. SULLIVAN (*admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
rts@bowwlaw.com
6 JASON P. KASTING (*admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
jpk@bowwlaw.com
7 **BROENING OBERG WOODS & WILSON PC**
2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1600
8 Phoenix, AZ 85004
(602) 271-7700
9 *Attorneys for Defendant*
Vigilant Insurance Company

10
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 ***

14 ROBERT L. GOLDMAN;

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 VIGILANT INSURANCE COMPANY, a
New York corporation and member of the
18 CHUBB GROUP OF INSURANCE
COMPANIES; DOES 1 through 100,
19 inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1
through 100, inclusive,

20 Defendants.
21

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-2227-JAD-BNW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AS
TO DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT
OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT (ECF NO. 33)**

ECF No. 69

22 Pursuant to LR II 16-1, 26-1 (b), 26-3, and 26-4, FRCP 16.1 and 26, DEFENDANT
23 VIGILANT INSURANCE COMPANY ("Defendant") and PLAINTIFF ROBERT L. GOLDMAN
24 ("Plaintiff") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate
25 and agree as follows.

26 Good cause exists for this extension. Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Judgment
27 [Doc. 33] on August 30, 2021. Plaintiff recently filed his response [Doc. 63] and accompanying it
28 was an affidavit from counsel [Doc. 64]. Defendant will file a motion to strike Doc. 64 (excluding

its exhibits) by Monday, April 25, 2022. While Plaintiff disagrees that the motion to strike is warranted, he has agreed that Defendant shall have 30 days after the Court's ruling on Defendant's motion to strike to file its reply brief in support of the Motion for Summary Judgment.

The current deadline for the reply is April 22, 2022. The parties have reached this stipulation because Defendant must know whether the content of the 44-page declaration should be addressed in its reply brief.

This is the first extension Defendant has sought in connection with the reply brief. Counsel have been working amicably with one another throughout the case. This stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

DATED this 22nd day of April, 2022.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: /s/ Cheryl A. Grames
 CHERYL A. GRAMES
 Nevada Bar No. 12752
 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Attorneys for Defendant Vigilant Insurance Company

BROENING OBERG WOODS & WILSON PC


By: /s/ Jason P. Kasting
 ROBERT T. SULLIVAN
 JASON P. KASTING
 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600
 Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Defendant Vigilant Insurance Company

ORDER

**Based on the parties' stipulation
 [ECF No. 69] and good cause
 appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

MARQUIZ LAW OFFICE, P.C.

By: /s/ Craig A. Marquiz (with permission)
 CRAIG A. MARQUIZ
 3088 Via Flaminia Court
 Henderson, NV 89052
Attorneys for Plaintiff


 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
 Dated: May 5, 2022